

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/837,317	04/19/2001		W.G. Don Korff	9732	
7	7590	06/03/2002			
W.G. DON KORFF				EXAMINER	
13774 ELDRIDGE AVE. SYLMAR, CA 91342				HOPKINS, ROBERT A	
					D. DED LYMARED

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/837,317	KORFF, W.G. DON
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit
	Robert A Hopkins	1724
Th MAILING DATE of this communicati n ap	· ·	ith the correspondence addr ss
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	.136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of thin d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI te, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
,	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		
closed in accordance with the practice unde Disposition of Claims	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application	on.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)[☑ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documer		
2. Certified copies of the priority documer		
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis 	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	•	
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pr 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	rovisional application has b	een received.
Attachment(s)		
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 1724

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 1-16 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claims 2-16 do not have the first word of the claim capitalized.

Claims 1-7 and 9-16 have a semicolon(;) at the end of the claim limitations.

Examiner notes that claim limitations should end with a period(.).

Appropriate correction is requested.

Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is requested:

Claims 9 and 11 recite a bottom surface of a discharge plate (seal ring in claim 11) including a mirror coating, thereby making the captured articles appear as double images for enhanced recognition. There is a lack of antecedent basis for the claimed limitations in the specification.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: page 21 line 14 recites "tube 30" and page 21 line 16 recites "tube 32". Appropriate correction is requested.

Art Unit: 1724

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 line 18 and claim 14 line 22 recite "the next element". There is a lack of antecedent basis in previous claim limitations for "the next element". Correction is requested. Claims 2-13 depend on claim 1 and hence are also rejected. Claims 15 and 16 depend on claim 14 and hence are also rejected.

Claim 3 lines 1-3 recites "wherein the region inside said jar that is located between the bottom edge of said intermediate air exit passage and said bottom surface of said jar is of optimal dimensions". The term "optimal dimensions" is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "optimal dimensions" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. Correction is requested.

Claim 14 recites "the next zone incorporates a multiplicity of filtering orifices of another uniform size etc,". Examiner is unclear as to what the scope of the limitation "etc" refers to. Examiner suggests the following limitations to clarify the scope of claim 14: --each next zone incorporates a multiplicity of filtering orifices of a uniform size

Art Unit: 1724

different than the one uniform size--. Claims 15 and 16 depend on claim 14 and hence are also rejected.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-16 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claims 1 and 14 recite a combination of a rigid elongated annular air tube including a primary inlet opening, a medial region defining an intermediate air exit passage, internal diverting means cooperating with the intermediate air exit passage in re-directing the air stream, one or more re-entry passages extended away from the intermediate air exit passage, a final outlet opening, a hub mounted rotatably and slidably on the air tube, including positioning means, whereby the hub is continually held in a discrete first longitudinal position with respect to the air tube, while being free to rotate about is center through 360 degrees in either direction, a jar of substantially cylindrical shape, fixedly mounted at its upper extremity to the flange of the hub by means permitting manual removal, and a filter element, substantially cylindrical in shape, mounted fixedly to the hub, surrounding the air tube in close rotatable and slidable contact, the filter element including a multiplicity of filtering orifices of uniform size, and means bringing the filtering orifices into alignment with the air re-entry passages of the air tube when the hub is in the first longitudinal position.

Art Unit: 1724

Gilbertson(5375293) and Muller(4833753) disclose a retrieval and collection device for capturing an removing small articles, the device connected upstream from a conventional vacuum system. However Gilbertson and Muller disclose a pair of stationary filter screens within a casing, wherein the screens of different sizes prevent objects of certain size from passing through the screens. Enright(2849080) discloses a retrieval and collection device for capturing an removing small articles, the device connected upstream from a conventional vacuum system. However Enright discloses a baffle system within a casing. Beede(2467652) discloses a retrieval and collection device for capturing an removing small articles, the device connected upstream from a conventional vacuum system. However Beede discloses a curved tube leading to a trap, wherein the trap includes a screen located above a sampling chamber. Therefore, it would not have been obvious to someone of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide a retrieval device for capturing and recovering small articles as required by the above stated limitations because none of the references of record suggest such a modification. Claims 2-13 depend on claim 1 and hence would also be allowable upon correction of the 112 second paragraph issues in the current office action. Claims 15 and 16 depend on claim 14 and hence would also be allowable upon correction of the 112 second paragraph issues in the current office action.

Art Unit: 1724

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert A Hopkins whose telephone number is 703-308-3913. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00am-3:00pm, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Simmons can be reached on 703-308-1972. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9572 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Robert A Hopkins Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724

Robert A. Hylis

Page 6

rah May 30, 2002